Are All Governments Evil and Demonic?

Special tribute to participants in the Members Bible Study is in order whenever one shows brilliance in their service. I want to commend Congressman Lamar Smith for his exegetical work on the immigration article he wrote in World Net Daily. Lamar did a great job of going into the original biblical Hebrew to explain why one cannot base passive immigration legislation on the concept of sojourning in the OT. Great work illustrative of clear thinking from Scripture Lamar!

Secondly, the defeat handed down by the US Supreme Court to the challenge of author Trent Franks’ Arizona legislation regarding School Tax Credit, which is based on great Church-State theology, is huge! This legislation serves to illustrate last week’s Bible study as it parallels and manifests the biblical principles we recently studied. This legislation will forever change American education in the years to come! Trent, what a monumental achievement!

INTRODUCTION

This week we will examine through the lens of Scripture the third of five wrong views regarding the relationship of Church and State. Last week we studied the second wrong view: Government should exclude religion. The week before we examined the false idea that government should compel religion (by that we meant becoming theocratic). This week we will study the following:

I. WRONG VIEW #3: ALL GOVERNMENT IS EVIL AND DEMONIC

The proponents of this view deduce that civil government is a front for the forces of evil. Such being inherently stricken by Satan himself, they believe that the institution is a pond of the devil. This idea is reasoned and concluded by the fact that governments inevitably resort to force: Since force is not the way of Jesus, government therefore, when it uses force is invoking the ways of the devil and the world (as in the biblical idea of being “worldly”). All is set in contrast to the ways of Jesus, who sought to love and serve with a patient and gentle demeanor. Said slightly differently, since the force of government is supposedly uncharacteristic of Jesus, government must be the opposite of Jesus. These are those who reason from Matthew 5:39 wherein Jesus taught His followers to “turn the other cheek.” (We will drill down on a proper understanding of that passage later on). Supporters of this worldview believe it is always wrong to use police and military force. Conversely one should build friendships, negotiate, show love and manifest patience. The leading advocates of this are Wallis and Boyd.1

In the following outline, points A→C will examine the supposed scriptural basis of this understanding and points D→H will examine at least five results stemming from an “All Government is Evil and Demonic” position. One is tempted to title this camp with the word “Pacifism” because that’s where this idea inevitably leads. Pacifism: “To allay the anger or agitation of; to calm somebody who is angry or agitated, or soothe violent or angry feelings.” But one must refrain from this summary because not all pacifists believe all government is evil.

A. THIS VIEW IS BASED ON A MISINTERPRETATION OF SATAN’S CLAIMS IN LUKE 4:6

The main passage for the supposed biblical basis of this is Luke 4:5-7. Herein Jesus is being tempted by the Devil.

And he led Him up and showed Him all the kingdoms of the world in a moment of time. 6 And the devil said to Him, ‘I will give You all this domain and its glory; for it has been handed over to me, and I give it to whomever I wish.’ 7 Therefore if You worship before me, it shall all be Yours.’
Basic to Bible study is that whenever you read a narrative passage quoting Satan (I.e. Genesis 3), keep in mind what else Scripture says about him; note this in John 8:44 where Jesus says:

“You are of your father the devil, and you want to do the desires of your father. He was a murderer from the beginning, and does not stand in the truth because there is no truth in him. Whenever he speaks a lie, he speaks from his own nature, for he is a liar and the father of lies.”

That’s to say this: In Luke 4 when Satan says to Jesus regarding worldly kingdoms, “for it has been handed over to me” he is lying! God never handed government over to the devil as much as the devil would like to think that. Therefore to utilize Luke 4 as a pretext for Satan owning Government is to fall for the same assumption-technique trick he tried to pull on Jesus. As a political leader, you of all people know that just because someone says something it doesn’t mean it is true!

**NOWHERE IN SCRIPTURE DOES IT SAY THAT SATAN IS THE RULER OF CIVIL GOVERNMENT**

This is the error of Minnesota pastor Greg Boyd, the leading representative of this position today. Boyd errs in misunderstanding Luke 4. Satan thinks He can dupe people by saying things with authority.

**B. THIS VIEW IS BASED ON AN IGNORANT UNDERSTANDING OF PAUL AND PETER**

As witnessed above in John 8, Jesus heralds that Satan is a liar. Accordingly, one should not build their theology on the statements of Satan in narrative portions of Scripture. Notice what the Apostle Paul and the Apostle Peter state regarding who is the progenitor of Civil Government:

*Every person is to be in subjection to the governing authorities. For there is no authority except from God, and those which exist are established by God.*

Three more times in Romans 13:1-6 (the above is verse 1) Paul states, “[Civil government] is God’s servant for your good.” “It is the servant of God.” “[Governmental] authorities are the ministers of God.” Paul is clear and redundant that God is the author and owner of the State. Note Peter in 1 Peter 2:13-14:

*Submit yourselves for the Lord’s sake to every human institution, whether to a king as the one in authority, or to governors as sent by him for the punishment of evildoers and the praise of those who do right.*

If all of government were controlled by evil and demonic forces, one would not think that the Apostle Peter would command believers to submit to it! (Cf. Col. 1:16).

It is important to clarify that Satan does have members of governments under his control—and that is the case here in DC—but such is quite different than saying Satan is the CEO of Civil Government as a whole. “The only verse in the whole Bible that says Satan has authority over all governments is spoken by the father of lies, and we should not believe it.” Therefore there is no biblical basis to make the assertion that all government is evil and demonic. Rather, it is God’s instrument of restraining grace in a fallen world. It is not evil; it is a restrainer of evil. This represents a huge difference in understanding.

**C. THIS VIEW IS BASED ON AN IMPOUNDED UNDERSTANDING OF JESUS**

In setting forth a false dichotomy between the righteousness of Jesus and the compelling, often forceful nature of civil government, the purveyors of this position ask, “When did Jesus ever act with the sword? Did Jesus ever teach that war was justified?” These are the queries proudly postulated by pacifists such as Boyd and are commonly-held perceptions in today’s biblically-illiterate culture. Respectively, in Luke 22:36-38 Jesus did authorize the use of the sword (actually two swords!). And contextually, it was for the purposes of self-defense and protection against robbers.

Secondly, what need be said relative to this point in the outline is that pacifists often appeal only to the life of Jesus versus the whole of the Bible to seemingly advance their arguments. They impound Jesus from the remainder of the Word. But Scripture is clear in its self-attestation
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that “All Scripture is inspired by God and profitable for teaching, for reproof, for correction and training in righteousness” (2 Timothy 3:16). The whole of the Word is inspired by God, not just the teachings of Jesus. Remember “In the beginning was the Word, and the Word was God….and the Word became flesh and dwelt amongst us” (John 1:1; 14; cf. 1 Thes. 2:13; Rev. 19:13). Synonymous to the living Word is the written Word. It is fundamentally erroneous (and it should catch one’s discerning eye), a hermeneutical violation, to attempt to isolate Christ from the remainder of His Scriptures. One cannot legitimately build theology on the back of Jesus’ sayings only. When Paul declared to the Elders, “I did not shrink from declaring to you the whole purpose of God” (Acts 20:27) he wasn’t referring just to Jesus.

Having said that, numerous passages in the Bible support the idea of government utilizing force to quell evil (cf. Genesis 9:5-6; Romans 13:1-7; I Peter 2:13-14). The third member of the Trinity inspired the authors of each of those passages. The supposed idea that the ways of Jesus are antithetical to the ways of Civil Government is a false dichotomy that does not bear up under the scrutiny of good exegesis.

D. THIS VIEW RESULTS IN A MORAL EQUIVALENCE BETWEEN GOOD AND EVIL

What are the results of this understanding of the State? If every nation is evil, what should a person conclude when two nations go to war? Was Great Britain evil when it took on Hitler’s Germany? Was America evil when it liberated Iraq from Saddam Hussein? Is it equally evil for a nation to defend itself against terrorist attacks? When one reasons that “All Governments Are Evil” evil is the nation that advances or defends against evil. Romans 13:4 states concisely to the contrary, “...it [civil government] is a minister of God, an avenger who brings wrath on the one who practices evil.” Nations and their actions are not patently evil according to God’s Word. As a legislator you should not feel guilty when waging a just war against evildoers.

E. THIS VIEW RESULTS IN A DENIAL OF GOVERNMENTAL POWER OVER INDIVIDUALS

Boyd exhibits a pacifistic ideology when he states the following. (Note that in Boyd’s vocabulary “the kingdom of the world” and “the power of the sword” are synonyms for describing “all governments are evil and demonic”).

Wherever a person or group exercises power over others...there is a version of the kingdom of the world. While it comes in many forms, the kingdom of the world is in essence a “power over” kingdom....there have been democratic, socialist, communist, fascist, and totalitarian version of this kingdom of the world, but they all share this distinctive characteristic: they exercise “power over” people.

This is not a biblically-informed rationale. Boyd believes government should not coerce people by threatening them with punishment. Rather, he believes Scripture teaches via the life of Jesus a “power under” versus a “power over” view of the role of government. The former being emblematic of “the kingdom of God” versus the latter, “the kingdom of this world.” Another way he expresses this is “Lamb Power” versus “Lion Power.” “Coming under” he believes transforms people’s hearts versus using force. Again, Romans 13:4 need inform ones total understanding of government.

CIVIL GOVERNMENT IS A MORALIZING AGENT OF GOD WHEREIN HE VESTS AND MANIFESTS HIS RESTRAINING GRACE IN A FALLEN WORLD

God’s design for Civil Government includes the idea of it containing corporeal power and force over people in order to compel righteousness. God intends for Civil Government to legislate morality.

F. THIS VIEW RESULTS IN NOT DEFENDING ONE’S WIFE, FAMILY OR COUNTRY FROM EVIL

The natural conclusion of not using force, believing that such is in keeping with conformity to Christ, leads to one not defending an attacker of his wife, family, friends or nation. Pacifists tend to utilize the following verses to justify their point of view. A closer investigation of each is in order.
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➢ “NEVER PAY BACK EVIL FOR EVIL” ROMANS 12:17

Contextually this passage is found in a summary listing of Christian virtues (after eleven chapters of doctrine, Paul reasons how therefore members of Jesus’ Church ought to live with others). Verses 9-21 depict the corpus of the believer’s attitudes in Christ. Importantly, the context pertains to how believers ought to live as members of the universal body of Christ, the Church. Importantly, contextually this is not a passage about the duties of policemen in the State or military of the State. Eight verses later, (note verse 19 along the way!) Paul soon contrasts this impermissible admonition herein relative to personal revenge with the concept of permissible State revenge: He goes on to say that the state possesses the authority to use the sword “an avenger who brings wrath on the one who practices evil.” All that to say this: The context and contrast of the passage is critically important to understanding its meaning! Parachuting in on a passage often cloaks authorial intent.

The big picture of Romans 12 is this: Paul is preaching that Christ-likeness means believers are not vengeful people who are always looking to get even. If someone is being accosted in your presence, that is quite another matter outside of the intended scope of this passage’s instruction. Short of a policeman near the scene of a crime, an individual can invoke upon himself the power of the State in order to shoot a murderer, etc. Making a citizen’s arrest is noble; the said person is not paying back evil for evil in this case.

➢ “LOVE YOUR ENEMIES” MATTHEW 5:44

This passage is found in the midst of Jesus’ instruction in the Sermon on the Mount. It stands to reason that if one loves their enemies like they do their friends and family, then they will protect them from the onslaught of a murderer or an invading country. Should one’s actual enemies attack, is it loving to allow them to break the laws of the land? Tough love applies to all.

➢ “TURN THE OTHER CHEEK” MATTHEW 5:39

In its tightest meaning when Jesus’ choice of words is understood in their cultural setting, “turn the other cheek” means one should respond in humility when insulted by another. (Someday I’ll take a whole study to develop this biblical understanding of the passage). For brevity’s sake, pacifism is not in view here.

Add to this the context of Jesus’ teachings. He is not talking about the responsibilities of government in Matthew 5. He is portraying principles for individual conduct this side of heaven. Add to that, this specific portion of Scripture is not listing imperative commands, but giving general principle illustrations (the OT Proverbs are principles) of what personal conduct should look like in the lives of His followers. To illustrate, a few passages later Jesus states, “give to one who begs of you.” If this were a command in the Greek language, it wouldn’t be long until conceivable, beggars could bankrupt churches. (Conversely, God also calls us to be good stewards of our resources just like He expects us to defend ourselves against evil wrongdoing).

PACIFISM IS NOWHERE TAUGHT IN THE BIBLE

The world is fallen and sin is rampant (especially when government enforcement is weak). Strong police forces and militaries are necessary as a result. Such fosters civility. Importantly, this next point will help to institutionally contextualize the aforementioned passages.

G. THIS VIEW RESULTS IN A BLURRING OF THE INSTITUTIONAL PURPOSES OF CHURCH AND STATE

The advocates of pacifism who invoke the love of Jesus to stylize their understanding of the conduct of Civil Government err in distinguishing separately the institution of the Church from the State. Whereas the Church is called to evangelize all citizens, the State is to moralize all citizens. God’s institutional purposes as well as His methodologies for achieving His purposes are quite different. Whereas the former is confined to love and cannot wield the sword, the latter is to use it when and where necessary. It seems Boyd and Wallis both have their institutional methodologies a bit mixed-up. In their noble pursuit for a more loving world, they act as if the methods of the Church are the limits of the State.
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**KEEP IN MIND**

“NEVER PAY BACK EVIL FOR EVIL,” 
“LOVE YOUR ENEMIES,” 
“TURN THE OTHER CHEEK,” 
ARE ADDRESSED TO BELIEVERS IN THE 
INSTITUTION OF THE CHURCH, NOT POLICEMEN OR MILITARY PERSONNEL 
WORKING IN OR FOR THE STATE.

In Scripture, Romans 13:4 & 7 speak specifically to those who work for the State (and not the Church!): They are to bear the sword. They are to avenge. They are to be feared. These passages should and need be applied in the context of the respective institution in view.

**H. THIS VIEW RESULTS IN PACIFISM AND NATIONAL WEAKNESS**

The military force necessary to stop Hitler, based on this scriptural analysis, was a good thing. The evil of American slavery came to an end through the use of force. That too was a good thing. Shooting a sniper perched in a school bell tower is a good thing. Hopefully no one would argue that today.

To posture however that these wars and battles would not be necessary had someone first loved like Jesus is to deny the reality that sin exists in the world, that it always has, and that it always will. Governmental restraint is not only necessary but God-ordained to curb its ever-presence (cf. Gen. 3:6-19; Eccl. 7:20; Rom. 3:23). Compelled by His restraining grace and love, God ordained this much-needed, valuable institution.

**SUMMARY**

The “All Governments are Evil” understanding of the State encourages believers to oppose the use of governmental force to quell evil. This is antithetical to the Bible’s clear teaching in Romans 13 and 1 Peter 2 wherein Government wields God’s authority to use the sword with justice.

The picture of Neville Chamberlain investing endless hours negotiating with Hitler comes to mind, as well as a defenseless Dalai Lama in the hands of an aggressive China. History is full of vivid illustrations resulting from manifest pacifism. One shudders to think what would happen worldwide if America adopted such an ideology as this? What aggressive nations would take advantage?

On a more personal, ministerial note, make no mistake here: Pacifism curries not personal favor with God. Think not that such attitudes win favor with The Almighty, as though one is more spiritual than his “aggressive” counterpart. One is not more spiritual who is a pacifist; one is less spiritual. Proverbs describes them in stark contrast to wise people (1:20). They are called naïve or ignorant: “How long, O naïve ones, will you love being simple-minded...For the waywardness of the naïve will kill them” (1:22, 32). Much to think about beloved.

Next Week we will examine the fourth wrong view of Church and State:

**II. Do Evangelism Not Politics**

---

2 Confer with Ephesians 6:12. No mention of governmental rule is listed in this main passage regarding the reign of the devil.
3 Boyd, Greg The Myth of a Christian Nation (Grand Rapids: Zondervan, 2005). On page 21 he says that all civil government is demonic. On page 22 he state, “Functionally, Satan is the acting CEO of all earthly governments.”
4 Grudem, Wayne Politics According to the Bible (Grand Rapids: Zondervan, 2010) p 38. It is important for me to state often that I am following the outline of this book, with permission, in these complex studies.
5 Ibid. p 59, 168, 91.
6 Ibid. p 18
7 Corporeal: Relating to or involving the physical body rather than the mind or spirit.
8 More broadly biblical institutions have a variety of different respective descriptors beyond those listed herein. For instance State leaders possess no biblically-explicit character qualifications necessary for holding office, whereas leaders in the Church do (cf. 1 Tim. 3; Titus 1). It follows that since there are no biblical character qualifications or limitations for policemen or military personnel in Genesis 9, Romans 12, or 1 Peter 2, that Matthew 5 and Romans 12 cannot necessarily be applied to them as virtues that are essential to holding their position in Civil Government, especially as it relates to the dispatch of their God-given duties. This is not to say that it is impossible for a Christian to serve in the police or military.